Written evidence submission to the House of Commons Science and Technology Committee's inquiry on an ARPA style agency.

June 2020

1. Summary

Summary of Reconnidations

Evidence

¹ <u>The Queens Speech, Background Briefing No</u>t@ecember 2019 ² We define diversity

©

The position should be made attractive enough to get the best candidates from across the world.

5. How the programmer ork

'The DARPA and the ARPAmodels are purely milestone driven. Because the end goal is not a paper. The end goal is a specific technology, and the most of the time the deliverable is hardiware a bunch of reports.'

Summary:The **f**exibility of the ARPA model allows certain parts of the process to be highly collaborative **i**with the research communityThe milestone focus when running programmes is necessary, but if not properly managed can have negative effects.

Recommendations:

- Inclusion of the community in idection setting forprogramme calls
- Highly rigorous internal processes for setting up caltend awarding funding
- Incentivisation of researcher collaboration, especially across sectors
- Clear communication during milestone tteng, with a balance between ambition and flexibility
- Further work is needed to investigate if UK ARPA should estop/go milestones
- The bureaucratic management also needs to be excellent
- Diversity and inclusion should be built into the agency from the beginning

Setting up the call

Contact

About us

6. Annex 1 UK Research funding landscape

	Innovate UK	ISCF	ERC grants	QR funding	EPSRC	ARPA type model ¹²
Target recipient						

Size of recipient group